		Acknowledgements	vii
		Contents	ix
		Abbreviations	cviii
		Defined Terms	xx
		Introduction	3
CHAPTER	I	The Protection of the EU's Financial Interests	
	I	The Origins	7
	2	The Draft Treaty of 1976	7
	3	The Creation of UCLAF	10
	4	Case 68/88, Commission v. Greece	12
	5	The Treaty of Maastricht	13
	5.1	Article 209a TEC	13
	5.2	Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters	14
	6	The PFI-Convention	16
	7	The PFI-Regulation	19
	7.I	Scope and Contents	19
	7.2	Purely Administrative or (Quasi-)Criminal Penalties?	22
	8	The Treaty of Amsterdam	25
	8.1	A Specific Legal Basis for PFI-Measures	25
	8.2	Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters	27
	8.3	Article 280(4) TEC and the Issue of an EC Competence in	
	-	Criminal Matters	28
	8.3.1	General Points	28
	8.3.2	Earlier Discussions	28
	8.3.3	Cases C-176/03 and C-440/05, Commission v. Council	30
	8.3.4	EC Competence in Criminal Law Matters in the PFI-Context	31
	8.3.5	The PFI-Convention Revisited	32
	9	The Treaty of Nice	35
	10	The Treaty of Lisbon	35
	10.1	Article 325 TFEU (ex-Article 280 TEC)	35
	10.2	Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters	35
	10.3	The Role of Articles 83(2) TFEU and 325(4) TFEU	
		as a Legal Basis for Adopting Criminal Law Measures	
		in the PFI-Context	38
	10.4	The PFI-Convention Revisited Again	41
	II	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	42
CHAPTER	II	The Creation of OLAF	
	I	The Broader Context: The 1999 Political Crisis	47
	2	The OLAF Specific Context	51
	3	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	53

CHAPTER	III	OLAF Legal Instruments	
	I	Decision, Regulation and Interinstitutional Agreement	5
	2	OLAF Manual	5
	3	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	5
CHAPTER	IV	OLAF's Competences	
	I	Scope of OLAF's Investigative Competences	ϵ
	I.I	OLAF-Decision	6
	I.2	OLAF-Regulation	6
	2	External Investigations	6
	2.I	Generally Applicable Rules	6
	2.I.I	Conduct of Investigations	6
	2.I.2	Confidentiality and Data Protection	6
	2.1.3	Transparency and Access to Documents	6
	2.2	Special Cases	7
	2.2.I	Direct Management of EU Expenditure by the Commission	7
	2.2.I.I	General Rule: No Separate Rules on OLAF's Investigative	
		Competences where Direct Management of EU	
		Expenditure is concerned	7
	2.2.I.2	Possible Exception to the General Rule	7
	2.2.2	Third Countries	7
	2.3	Powers of Investigation	7
	2.3.1	Council Reg. No 2185/96	7
	2.3.1.1	General Points	7
	2.3.1.2	Relationship to the PFI-Regulation	7
	2.3.1.3	Relationship to the Sectoral Rules	7
	2.3.1.4	Contents	7
	2.3.2	Enforcement of Powers of Investigation	8
	2.3.2.1	Absence of Means of Enforcement for OLAF/Duty of	
		Assistance on the Member States	8
	2.3.2.2	Extent of Member State Control over the Exercise by	
		OLAF of its Powers of Investigation	8.
	2.3.3	Fundamental Right to Privacy Issues	8
		Applicable Law	8
	2.3.3.2	Right to Respect for One's Home	90
	2.3.3.3	Right to Respect for One's Correspondence and	
		Communications	90
	3	Internal Investigations	9:
	3.I	A Multi-Level Legal Basis for Internal Investigations	9:
	3.2	Treaty of Lisbon: Reinforcement of the Legal Basis	
		for Internal Investigations by OLAF?	96
	3.3	Powers of Investigation	97
	3.3.I	Substance	97
	3.3.1.1	Access to Information and to Premises	97

	3.3.1.2	Duty to cooperate with OLAF and Duty to supply	
		Information	9
		Lillorcelle of 1 0 wers of lill ob 1-8 min	10
	///	Incidence of the Protocol on Privileges and Immunities	10
		Fundamental Right to Privacy Issues	10
	3.3.4.1	Applicable rules	10
	3.3.4.2	Tright to respect for One Baronne	10
	3.3.4.3	Right to Respect for One's Correspondence and	
		Communications	10
	4	Forwarding of Information by OLAF to National Authorities	10
	5	Administrative or (Quasi-)Criminal Investigative	
		Competences?	10
	6	Cooperation with	1
	6. ₁	National Authorities	1
	6.1.1	Search for an Overall Concept	1
	6.1.2	Subsidiarity	1
	6.1.3	Partnership	ון
	6.2	EU Institutions and Bodies	11
	6.2.1	Overview	11
		The ECA	17
	6.2.3	Europol	11
	7	Other OLAF Tasks	1
	7.I	Overview	1
	7.2	Importance of the Distinction between OLAF's Investigative	
	, -	Function and its other Tasks	17
	8	Some Facts and Figures	11
	8.1	General Points	11
	8.2	Opening Decisions	11
	8.3	Closing Decisions	12
	8.4	Judicial Follow-Up	12
	8.5	Financial Recovery	12
	_	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	12
	9	Some michale Concidents	•
CHAPTER	v	Rights of the Defence of Persons under Investigation	
		by OLAF	
	I	General Points	12
	2	Right to be Heard and Right of Access to the File	12
	2.I	Plurality of Relevant Sources	12
	2.2	External Investigations	13
		OLAF Manual	13
		National Rules on the Rights of the Defence	1
		The EU Fundamental Principle of the Rights of the Defence	
	2.2.5	and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	1
	2 2 2 2 5	General Case-Law on the EU Fundamental Principle of the	١,
	2.2.3.1	Rights of the Defence	-
		Vigure of the Defence	1

	2.2.3.2	OLAF related Case-Law	132
	2.2.3.3	The Double Meaning of the Notion of "Measure adversely	
		affecting" a Person	132
	2.2.3.4	The Fact that OLAF Investigative Acts result in Reports	133
		The Fact that a Decision is taken subsequently by another	
		Authority	134
	2.2.3.6	The Consequences of being under Investigation	134
	2.2.3.7	versus the Effectiveness of the Investigation	136
	2.2.3.8	The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	137
	2.2.3.9	The Right to be Heard under the Charter	137
	2.2.3.IC	The Right of Access to the File under the Charter	140
	2.2.3.11	OLAF Revisited	142
	2.2.3.12	Consequences of a Violation of the Rights of the Defence	145
	2.3	Internal Investigations	146
	2.3.I	Decision adopted by each EU Institution or Body	146
	2.3.2	Other Sources	148
	2.3.2.1	OLAF Manual	148
	2.3.2.2	National Rules on the Rights of the Defence	148
	2.3.2.3	The EU Fundamental Principle of the Rights of the Defence	•
		and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	149
	3	The Right to be Informed	150
	3.1	General Sources	150
	3.2	OLAF Legal Instruments	151
	3.3	Comparison with Competition Law	152
	4	Other Article 6 ECHR related Rights of the Defence	154
	4.I	Right to Assistance of a Legal Counsel	154
	•	General Sources	154
	4.1.2	OLAF Legal Instruments	156
		The Presumption of Innocence	158
	4.3	The Right not to incriminate oneself and the Right to	
		remain silent	159
	4.4	The Reasonable-Time Requirement	160
	• •		160
	4.4.2	Consequences of a Violation of the Reasonable-Time	
			162
	4.4.2.1	On the EU Level	162
	4.4.2.2	On the National Level	164
			165
	5	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	166
CHAPTER	VI	Organisation and Institutional Position of OLAF	
	I	Director	171
		Supervisory Committee	173
	3	The Position of OLAF as a Commission Service	174
	3.I	Hybrid Structure	174

	3.2	Positive Effects	174
	3.3	Questions	175
		in relation to Delegation: of Authority or of Powers?	175
	3.3.1.1	General Points	175
	3.3.I.2	Delegation of Authority?	175
	3.3.1.3	Delegation of Powers?	176
		Focal Point Supervision	178
	3.3.2	in relation to Judicial Review	179
	3.3.3	in relation to the Delimitation of Powers	
		and Responsibilities	181
	3.4	Possible Alternative Structures	182
	3.4.I	General Points	182
	3.4.2	OLAF as a Satellite Agency	183
	3.4.3	OLAF as a part of the ECA	183
	3.4.4	OLAF as a body mentioned in the Treaty	184
	3.5	Challenges raised by an Independent OLAF	184
	4	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	185
CHAPTER	VII	Supervision of OLAF	
	I	Relevance of the Issue	189
	2	Tentative Criteria for Adequate Supervision	191
	3	Existing Forms of (Non-Judicial) Supervision of OLAF	192
	3.I	The Supervisory Committee	192
	3.I.I	Independence of OLAF v. Supervision of OLAF: Balance in	
		favour of the Former	192
	3.I.2	Case-law	192
	3.1.3	Expressions of Discomfort	193
	3.1.4	Proposals for a Change of the Current Situation	194
	3.2	Other Forms of (Non-Judicial) Supervision	195
	3.2.1	The European Parliament, the Council and the Commission	19!
	3.2.2	The ECA	196
	3.2.3	The European Ombudsman	197
	3.2.4	The European Data Protection Supervisor	197
	3.2.5	The President or Secretary-General of an EU Institution or	
		Body	198
	3.2.6	The Review Adviser	198
	4	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	200
CHAPTER	VIII	Judicial Review of OLAF Investigative Acts	
	I	General Points	20
	2	Direct Judicial Review	20
	2.I	Action for Annulment	20
	2.I.I	General Trend: Inadmissibility of an Action for Annulment	
		against an OLAF Investigative Act	20

	2.I.2	Tentative Exception to the General Trend	20
	2.1.3	Some Further Reflections	20
	2.1.3.1	Comparison with Competition Law	20
	-	2 Fundamental Rights	2
	2.1.3.3	Protocol on Privileges and Immunities	2
	2.1.4	Preceding Administrative Procedure	2
	2.1.5	The Action for Annulment and the Issue of Adequate	
		Supervision	21
	2.2	Action for Damages	2
	2.2.I	General Points	2
	2.2.2	Preceding Administrative Procedure	21
	2.2.3	The Action for Damages and the Issue of Adequate	
		Supervision	22
	2.3	Interim Relief	22
	2.3.1	General Points	22
	2.3.2	Interim Relief in the Framework of Actions for Annulment	22
	2.3.3	Interim Relief in the Framework of Actions for Damages	22
	2.3.4	Interim Relief and the Issue of Adequate Supervision	22
	3	Indirect Judicial Review	22
	3.1	General Points	22
	3.2	Indirect Judicial Review by an EU Court	22
	3.3	Indirect Judicial Review by a National Court	22
	3.3.1	The Preliminary Ruling Procedure: Cooperation between	
		National Courts and the ECJ	22
	3.3.2	Interpretation of an OLAF(-related) Act	22
	3.3.3	Validity of an OLAF(-related) Act	22
	3.3.4	Indirect Judicial Review by a National Court and the Issue	
		of Adequate Supervision	229
	4	The Fundamental Right to an Effective Remedy	230
	5	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	230
HAPTER	IX	A Look Forward to a European Public Prosecutor's Offic	ce
	1	General Points	23
	2	Brief Historical Overview	238
	2.I	The Corpus Juris	238
	2.2	The Committee of Independent Experts	239
	2.3	The Commission's Proposal for the Treaty of Nice	240
	2.4	The Commission's Green Paper	240
	2.5	The Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe	241
		The Treaty of Lisbon	242
	2.7	The Stockholm Programme	243
	3	The Step to an EPPO: Eurojust	243
	3. I	The Creation of Eurojust	243
	3.2	Treaty Provision and Legal Instruments	245
		Article 85 TFEU	245

3.2.2	The Eurojust-Decision	245
3.2.2.I	Overview	245
3.2.2.2	Possible effects of the Eurojust-Decision in the National	
	Legal Order: Obligation of Conforming Interpretation?	245
3.3	Competences	247
3.3.I	Overview	247
3.3.2	Absence of Power to Investigate	248
3.3.3	Legal Effect on Member States	248
3.4	Organisation	249
3.5	Supervision	250
	Judicial Review	251
3.6.1	National Courts	251
3.6.2	EU Courts	252
3.7	Relationship with OLAF	253
3.7.I	Cooperation between Eurojust and OLAF	253
3.7.2	OLAF Investigative Competence in Relation to Eurojust	254
4	Relevance of OLAF for an EPPO	256
4.I	General Points	256
4.2	Legal Instruments defining the Investigative Competences	
•	of an EPPO	256
4.3	Investigative Competences of an EPPO	257
4.3.I	Extent	257
4.3.2	Enforcement	259
4.4	Rights of the Defence of Persons under Investigation by	
• •	an EPPO	259
4.5	Organisation of an EPPO	26 [.]
4.5.1	Decentralised Structure	26 ⁻
4.5.2	Legal Personality?	26 ⁻
4.5.3	An EPPO and the Relationship between the EU and the	
	Member States	262
4.6	Supervision of an EPPO	26
4.7	Judicial Review of (Investigative) Measures of an EPPO	26
4.7.I	Uncontested Principle	26
4.7.2	Possible Competent Court(s)	262
4.7.2.1	Special (chamber of an) EU Court?	264
	National Court?	26
4.7.2.3	Judicial Review under the Current EU Courts' System?	26
4.7.3	Some Hypothetical Examples	26
4.7.3.I	House Search	26
4.7.3.2	Committal for Trial	270
5	Relevance of an EPPO for OLAF	270
5.1	General Points	27
5.2	An EPPO as a Supervisor of OLAF	27
5.3	An EPPO Absorbing OLAF	27
6	Some Intermediate Concluding Remarks	27

LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF THE EUROPEAN ANTI-FRAUD OFFICE

Nederlandstalige samenvatting

3

Conclusion **OLAF's Characteristics** 277 A Short-Term Approach 279 A Long-Term Approach 279 282 Table of Cases Bibliography 290 Index

300

305